MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING February 24, 2004 10:00 AM TO 2:30 PM Port Of Los Angeles, Main Building 425 S. Palos Verdes St. DRAFT AGENDA

Topic	Time	Process/Speaker	Desired Outcome
I. Introduction	10:00	Review Agenda and Ground rules	
II. Consensus and action items	10:10	Facilitated dialogue	
III DMMP status and Treatment/Storage/ Rehandling (TSR) facility update	10:20	Presentation- Kathy Anderson (10 min.) Clarifying questions Facilitated dialogue	Common understanding of efforts to date and consensus on next steps
IV Turbidity/ Transmissivity Update	11:00	Presentations: Nicholas Buhbe (10 min.) Clarifying questions Facilitated dialogue	Common understanding of possible issues and consensus on next steps
V. Break	12:00	Working Lunch	Refueling our brains
VI Report Review	12:15	Presentation-Steve Cappellino (10 min) Clarifying questions Facilitated dialogue	Common understanding of comments to date and consensus on next steps
VIII Balancing And Incentives	1:00	Presentation-Michael Lyons (10 min) Revisit brainstormed list Clarifying questions Facilitated dialogue	Consensus on recommendations to include in draft Strategy
IX. Wrap Up	2:15	Review consensus and action items Identify next steps Meeting evaluation	Review
X. Meeting Ends	2:30		

(Action items in bold and underlined, consensus in italics)

I Introduction

* No changes to draft agenda

II Consensus and action items

*Reviewed consensus items and action items from January 27th meeting.

(✓-completed)

Action Items- Carry Over (again)

- *ACTION-Jack Gregg and Ralph-Kathryn will finalize Advisory Committee Guidelines and distribute to signatories
- ✓ Action- Revisit CAD ownership /Management issues in February (changed to March)
- **✓** Action- Steve Bay will develop templates for
- 1) dredge fate information (added)
- 2) characterization information (done)

New Action items

✓ Action- Steve Bay will pursue benthic comparison to Bay reference sites and add to data analysis for CAD evaluation

Advisory Committee Update

- Carryover Action- Michael Lyons will develop summary chart on potential fill "opportunities" for next 5 years
- <u>Carryover Action- Ports will identify "opportunities in fill projects for additional contaminated sediment over next 5 years.</u>
- Carryover Action- Put on March agenda- comparison of 5 year contaminated sediment projects and 5 year fill opportunities. And identify next steps.
- <u>Carryover Action- Michael Lyons will schedule next meeting of Advisory Committee meeting before</u>
 July 27, 04

Report Review

- ✓ <u>Action- Management Committee members can provide comments or suggestions to Steve</u>

 <u>Cappellino on report by 2/17/04</u>
- Action Steve Cappellino will send out CD versions of the report to all Management Committee

 Members
- Action-Steve Cappellino will redraft outline for Chapter 9 based on Management Committee

 efforts to date and 'balancing "issue paper and distribute ot CCC, RWQCB, EPA, and COE
 before February 2.
- ✓ <u>Action- Jack Gregg will set up a conference call with regulatory agencies to review outline and issue paper on balancing.</u>

Balancing

<u>Carryover Action- COE (Kathy) will look into Brownfields funding and report back to Management Committee.</u>

Consensus on following.

Broad recommendations for Strategy

*CSTF Should vigorously pursue the identification of feasible sites for a Storage/Treatment

/Reprocessing Facility with water access and a land route out e.g. Ports, Ballona Creek, LA River.

*CSTF will identify incentives and promote the use

- ✓ <u>Action- Management Committee members will revisit Brainstormed list at next meeting</u>
- Action Michael Lyons will revise balancing issue paper consistent with consensus items and other suggestions.

III DMMP TSR Status

* Presentation-Kathy Anderson

DMMP

- -Internal draft of Baseline conditions (F3) available to CSTF by March 21 on FTP site
- Funding limited, can finish public review under F3, no funding now for F4
- Anticipate F4 would include programmatic EIS
- President's 2005 budget includes funding for LA DMMP

TSR (Treatment/ Storage/Reprocessing)

- COE management supportive of asking for additional funds for TSR
- Department of Beaches and Harbors for Marina del Ray is requesting 2005 funding for dredging clean material and funding a TSR pilot study(for 25,000 M³)

*Handout provided by COE as example for letter of support

Action- Agencies/ Entities will consider sending separate letters of support for Marina Del Ray's funding request for dredging, including TSR pilot, ideally by February 26.

Action- Kathy Anderson will offer briefing for Congressional rep. Harmon or staff, with Heal the Bay in attendance, on the Marina Del Ray project.

Action – COE will also offer briefing to Congressional rep. Rohrbacher on Marina Del Ray project

Action- Jack Gregg, Josh Burnam, and Kathy Anderson will make calls to Joe Chesler requesting he attend future CSTF MC meetings

IV Turbidity/ Transmissivity

* Presentation- Nick Buhbe

Handout- Port of Long Beach Back Channel Sediment Elutriate Analyses Status report-transmissivity, toxicity, and TSS (Feb. 24, 2004)

Concern- What happens with more contaminated sediments?

Response- Can target more contaminated areas in future sampling (Area A)

Suggestion-Can you add Optical Back Scatter (OBS) to evaluation?

Response-Will look into it

Suggestion- Can you take Spectrophotometer out into field?

Response- Will consider

Suggestion-Target more contaminated Area A and use appropriate technique to identify high TSS in 50- 200 mg/l range

Response- Will be considered.

IV Report Review

* Presentation- Steve Cappellino

Handout- revised Outline

- needed to capture Balancing and Beneficial Reuse- added Chapter 8
- Sent revised outline to Jack, Josh, Michael, and Steven John

?? Should Section 4- Regional Sediment Screening Thresholds- be moved to appendices? (presently ~ 60 pages)
Options

- 1) Move to appendices and add "broad brush" description under Section 2
- 2) Shorten Section 4 to "broad brush" and put rest in appendices

Consensus - Shorten Section 4 Regional Sediment Screening Thresholds to "broad brush" and put rest in appendices

?? Should Chapter 3-Contaminated Sediment Sources to the Los Angeles Region include added section 3.4 Watershed Management

Options

- 1) Separate out 3.4 to chapter 2
- 2) Change chapter 3 title by adding "and Source Control Programs"

Consensus – Change Chapter 3 title by adding "and Source Control Programs"

Action- Sediment Thresholds Committee will meet in March and draft "conclusions " on SQG and develop "options " for recommendations to increase "certainty" in future determinations

Lead- Steven John

Suggestion- add subsection in chapter 8 - recommendations on Sediment Quality Guidelines

Action- Michael Lyons will organize Watershed management and Source Control subcommittee meeting in March and invite TMDL and Storm water staff. The purpose of the meeting is to develop a sense of the reduction of particulates due to Source control programs and the reduction of contaminants in sediment in the next 20 years.

VII Balancing

*Presentation- Steven John

Handout-"Process for decision making on dredge material" (title provided at meeting)

- Prioritize beneficial reuse
- Evaluation of alternatives (Including CAD)
- TSR is mostly storage to start
- assumptions identified
- addresses clean and contaminated material

Action- All MC members can provide comments to on "Process for decision making" handout to Steven John by March 9. Steven will work with Steve Cappellino on revised draft (including a new visual).

*Presentation- Michael Lyons Handout- Balancing Selection of Disposal Alternatives Note! Title needs to be changed to emphasize Beneficial Reuse *The group spent considerable time reviewing the list of "Incentives brainstormed at the prior meeting and incorporated into the revised issue paper.

The group identified 2 more possible Incentives

- * Beneficial reuse awards
- * Annual progress reports with comparisons for each agency

The group also brainstormed possible "Criteria" to evaluate "Incentives"

Possible Criteria to evaluate Incentives

- * Provides flexibility
- * Nexus exists
- * Does not equal extortion
- * Creates resource/ habitat
- * Mutually beneficial for participants
- * Cost effective
- *Increases certainty
- * Implementable
- * Acceptability
- * Short term benefit

Action- All MC members should provide comments to Michael Lyons on latest draft issue paper on Balancing and suggest additional "Incentives' and possible "criteria" to evaluate incentives.

VII Wrap Up

Next Meeting-March 30,04

Agenda items

- * Comparison of 5 year project projections and "opportunities' (~ 1 hour)
- * CAD ownership/ management (Dennis?)` 1/2 hour
- * Balancing and Incentives (1 ½ hours)
- *?

April meeting

Agenda items

- * SQG- Develop recommendations on increasing "certainty" in suitability determinations related to conclusions from SQG efforts
- * Revisit Source Control recommendations

Participants:

Alex Hernandez, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Bill Paznokas, Fish & Game (phone)

Bob Moore, MBC Applied Environmental Sciences

Dennis Eschen, City of Long Beach

Harry Seraydarian, facilitator J. Michael Lyons, Los Angeles Regional Water

Quality Control Board

Jack Gregg, California Coastal Commission Jessica Stoudenmire, City of Long Beach

Jim Fields, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

John Lindsey, ECDC Environmental

Josh Burnam, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Kathryn Curtis, Port of Los Angeles

Kathy Anderson, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Mark Gold, Heal the Bay

Mike Schulz, U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency

Nick Buhbe, AMEC Environmental Omer Kadaster, Brown and Caldwell

Shannon Garcia, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Steve Bay, Southern California Coastal Water

Research Project

Steve Cappellino, Anchor Environmental Steven John, U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency

Tom Johnson, Port of Long Beach Ying Poon, Everest Consultants